In the High Court of Judicature for Rajasthan
At
Jaipur Bench, Jaipur

O R D E R

In

S.B. Criminal Misc. Bail Application No.6724/06
Narendra Singh Vs. State of Rajasthan

Date Of Order :: 30th November, 2006

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Ray Goyal

Mr. Akash Kumar Agarwal on behalf of
Mr. Sanjay Singhal, for petitioner.
Mr. R.P. Kuldeep, Public Prosecutor for State.

.....

Heard learned counsel for accused petitioner, learned Public Prosecutor for the State, perused the case diary and other material produced during the course of arguments.

It is contended on behalf of the accused petitioner that he has falsely been implicated in this matter, he is a student and he was not involved in such type of matters in the past also. It is also submitted that when he went to the police station on the directions of this Court to assist in the investigation he was beaten by the police and police recorded his interrogation at its own and got his signatures on the interrogation report. It is also submitted that co-accused persons have been arrested and they all have been enlarged on bail and the only allegation against the accused petitioner is of theft of small articles like plate, steel etc.

Learned Public Prosecutor opposed the bail application.

Without making any observations on merits, having considered the rival submissions made at the bar, nature of accusation, material on record and all other facts and

circumstances, I deem it proper to grant the benefit of pre-arrest bail to accused petitioner Narendra Singh.

In the result, the bail application is allowed and it is directed that in the event of arrest of petitioner Narendra Singh S/o. Meghraj, he shall be released on bail by the concerned SHO/Investigating Officer in FIR No.91/2006 registered at Police Station Mothpur, District Baran provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/- together with two sureties each in the sum of Rs.5,000/- to the satisfaction of the concerned SHO/Investigating Officer on the following conditions :-

1. that he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
2. that he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or any police officer; and
3. that he shall not leave India without previous permission of the Court.

(J.R. Goyal),J

VS Shekhawat/-
Jr. P.A.